

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,459	. 07/23/2003	Xiao-Jing Lu	1571.1124-003	9159
21005 7590 07/13/2007 HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD			EXAMINER	
			VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		•	1732	
			·	
		•	MAIL DATE	· DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/625,459	LU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC	NTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,	
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Note: The state of the communication of the	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	May 2007.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		ntination No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	·	·	
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 	-	eceived in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived	
See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	to. the contined copies not i	555.75 u .	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🗌 Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/625,459

Art Unit: 1732

1.Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

In the independent claims, applicant recites that the apex, the first end point and a first base point are coplanar and "form a continuous edge from the first base point to the apex". First of all, it is not clear exactly what the first base point is—is this a point anywhere along the base aperture? It would appear that the formation of a plane containing a first end point along the middle aperture, the apex and any point along the base aperture would indeed form a plane. However, it is not clear that such a plane would have "a continuous edge from the first base point to the apex" as recited in the claims. Technically, a plane does not have an edge but continues on indefinitely into space. Clarification is required as to exactly what the first base point is and what the continuous edge containing this point and the apex are. Also, claims 5-20 are indefinite for failing to explicitly set forth any steps as to the method in question. le, while "forming" may be interpreted as a step, it is not clear from the claims exactly how this is being done and hence the claims are indefinite. Applicant should insert actual process language as to how the retroreflective sheeting, prism and casting mold are actually formed, rather than relying on the shape of these structures for patentability. These are method claims, not product or structure claims.

2.Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,459 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

Upon close review of the claims, a 112 issue manifests itself with respect to the instant first base point and its formation of a "continuous edge" with the instant apex. Also, claims 5-20 should set forth steps that show how the items are actually formed. An obviation of the 112 issues would place the application in condition for allowance.

3.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot July 7, 2007 Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

7/7/07